

# On the diversity of butterfly communities

## "Comparing the diversity of butterfly communities in two areas"

Katsuhiko NAKAJIMA

August 16 2024

Author used two methods to quantify diversity of butterfly communities in two areas for comparing the abundance diversity of butterfly communities.

### 1 There are two methods for quantifying diversity. Both methods indicate complexity using two variables.

They are measured diversity using the number of species and its number of individuals of each species.

#### ① Shannon Weaver Information Measure

$$H_s = - \sum p_i \log p_i$$

#### ② Simpson index diversity

$$\lambda = \sum n_i(n_i - 1) / N(N - 1)$$

$$\beta = 1 / \lambda \quad \beta : \text{diversity index}$$

### 2 Butterfly abundance and its comparative value

Shannon Weaver Information Measure

Butterfly abundance and diversity are calculated from the number of butterfly species and its individual numbers in two areas.

\* The number of observed species and its individuals are assumed values.

(In reality, these two variables, the number of species and its individual number, are observed and measured)

#### A area

Species	no. i	Ind. Nov. $n_i$	$p_i$	$\log p_i$	$p_i \log p_i$
<i>Pseudozizeeria maha</i>	1	15	0.4285	-0.3676	-0.1575
<i>Eurema mandarina</i>	2	8	0.2286	-0.6402	-0.1463
<i>Pieris napae</i>	3	5	0.1429	-0.8451	-0.1208
<i>Papilio xuthus</i>	4	3	0.0857	-1.0671	-0.0914
<i>Papilio dehaanii</i>	5	1	0.0286	-1.5436	-0.0441
<i>Graphium Sarpedon</i>	6	1	0.0286	-1.5436	-0.0441
<i>Papilio Memnon</i>	7	1	0.0286	-1.5436	-0.0441
<i>Pieris melete</i>	8	1	0.0286	-1.5436	-0.0441
	N 35		1.0000	$\sum p_i \log p_i = -0.6924$	

$$H_s = - \sum p_i \log p_i = - (-0.6924) = 0.6924$$

## B area

Species	no. i	Ind.Nov. ni	pi	log pi	pi logpi
<i>Pseudozizeeria maha</i>	1	20	0.4348	-0.3617	-0.1537
<i>Pieris napae</i>	2	15	0.3261	-0.6402	-0.1587
<i>Colioas erate</i>	3	3	0.0652	-1.1858	-0.0773
<i>Papilio xuthus</i>	4	5	0.1087	-1.9638	-0.1048
<i>Graphium Sarpedon</i>	5	1	0.0217	-1.6635	-0.0361
<i>Neptis sappho</i>	6	1	0.0217	-1.6635	-0.0361
<i>Eurema mandarin</i>	7	1	0.0217	-1.6635	-0.0361
			1.0000	$\Sigma \text{pi log pi} = -0.6028$	

$$H_s = -\Sigma \text{pi log pi} = -(-0.6028) = 0.6028$$

A area  $H_s = 0.6924$

B area  $H_s = 0.6028$

A > B

The butterfly information index and diversity at A area 15% higher than those at B area.

## 3 Butterfly abundance and its comparative value

“Simpson index diversity”

Diversity is compared using the observed number of species and individuals mentioned above.

$$\lambda = \Sigma n_i(n_i - 1) / N(N - 1)$$

$$\beta = 1 / \lambda \quad \beta : \text{Simpson index diversity}$$

### A area

$$\lambda = \{15(15-1) + 8(8-1) + 5(5-1) + 3(3-1) + 1(1-1) + 1(1-1) + 1(1-1) + 1(1-1)\} / 35(35-1)$$

$$2010 + 56 + 20 + 6 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 / 2070 = 0.2454$$

$$\beta = 1 / \lambda = 1 / 0.2454 = 4.075$$

### B area

$$\lambda = \{20(20-1) + 15(15-1) + 3(3-1) + 5(5-1) + 1(1-1) + 1(1-1) + 1(1-1)\} / 46(46-1)$$

$$(380 + 210 + 6 + 20 + 0 + 0 + 0) / 2070 = 0.2976$$

$$\beta = 1 / \lambda = 1 / 0.2976 = 3.360$$

A > B

The butterfly information index and diversity at A area is 21% higher than at B area.

This formula is simple to calculate, but if the number of individuals of a species is 1, then “ $n_i(n_i-1)=0$ ” and this is not reflected in the overall result.

The Shannon Weaver information measure (index) is an appropriate way for quantity butterfly diversity.

#### 4 Observation values and data collection method

- ① Butterfly-rich periods; May and September
- ② Deciding two areas for comparing
- ③ Fixed point observations for five days each month, from 10:00 to 11:00.
- ④ Cumulative number of species and individuals in May  
Cumulative number of species and individuals in September

#### Analysis results Shannon Weaver information index (numbers are provisional)

	May	Sept.
A area	0.6924	0.5234
B area	0.6028	0.7253

In May, A area is more diverse and rich than in September.

In May, A area diverse and rich. In September, B area is more diverse and rich.

end